REMARKS

In the Board Decision in this case, the Board found, on page 5, that "Mumford teaches that

each pixel has the same sequence of primary color choice: R, G, B, rather than a unique sequence

of primary colors in each region." Moreover, the Board found that the combination of Wiebe and

Mumford would not result in applicant's claim 1 that recites "generating a different sequence of

characteristic values each corresponding to a unique sequence of primary colors" because "...

Mumford does not teach this feature" Thus, the Board has already found that Mumford does

not teach generating a unique sequence of primary colors. Most certainly, there is no unique

sequence of primary colors in Tanimura.

Tanimura just teaches using black and white dots. In other words, all Tanimura does is

convert everything in the image to black or white and then move an inverted dot along the raster of

the image until the change of white to black or black to white is detected by the detector. There is

no color whatsoever. It seems like the combination of Tanimura with Mumford cannot overcome

the deficiency in Mumford, already noted by the Board. The only thing Mumford is cited as

teaching is that primary colors for displays are well known. This hardly seems to teach the

missing element of generating a different sequence of characteristic values, each corresponding to

a unique sequence of primary colors, as already found by the Board.

The new combination is at least as defective as the previous one and the rejection should be

withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 19, 2009

Timóthy N. Tróp, Reg. No. 28,994

TŔOP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.

1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750

Houston, TX 77057-2631

713/468-8880 [Phone]

713/468-8883 [Fax]

5